

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
60117-86	3644	
EXAM	INER	
BELYAVSKYI, MICHAIL A		
ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		

DATE MAILED: 06/06/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	n No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/719,64	2	GARCIA-MARTINEZ ET AL.			
		Examiner		Art Unit			
			Belyavskyi	1644			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	appears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	idress		
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RECHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING assions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFI SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pere to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by streply received by the Office later than three months after the med patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF TH R 1.136(a). In no eve n. rriod will apply and wi latute, cause the appl	IIS COMMUNICATION int, however, may a reply be time. Il expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ication to become ABANDONE!	N. nely filed the mailing date of this o D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	,		
Status							
1)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed on _						
′=	•						
/	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	ion of Claims	•					
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-36 is/are pending in the application	tion.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)□	6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7)							
8)🖂	Claim(s) 1-36 are subject to restriction and	or election req	uirement.				
Applicati	on Papers						
∕ 9)□	The specification is objected to by the Exan	niner.					
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	accepted or b)	\square objected to by the F	Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
	12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority docum						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
* C	application from the International Bu	•	* **				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachmen	Ma\						
_	((s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date							
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:							

Application/Control Number: 10/719,642

Art Unit: 1644

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-36 are pending.

Restriction Requirement

- 2. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. § 121:
- I. Claims 1-3, drawn to an isolated multimerized antibody, classified in Class 530 subclass 387.1.
- II. Claims 4-5, drawn to an isolated nucleic acid, classified in Class 536, subclass 23.1
- III. Claims 6-13, 18 and 29-33 and 36 drawn to a method of modulating lymphocyte proliferation in a mammal and a method of inhibiting proliferation of human peripheral blood mononuclear cells classified in Class 424, subclass 139.1.
- IV. Claims 14-17, 21-24 and 34-36 drawn to a method of modulating cytokine production in a mammal classified in Class 424, subclass 139.1.
- V. Claim 19 drawn to a method for placing an immune cell into anergy, classified in Class 424, subclass 139.1.
- VI. Claim 20 and 36 drawn to a method for decreasing the activity of a CD83 gene product in a mammal, classified in Class 424, subclass 139.1.
- VII. Claims 25-28 and 36 drawn to a method for treating an inappropriate immune response classified in Class 424, subclass 139.1.
- 3. Groups III -VII are different methods. These inventions are different with respect to ingredients, method steps, and endpoints which require non-coextensive searches; therefore, each method is patentably distinct.
- 4. Groups I and II are different products. Nucleic acids and antibodies differ with respect to their structures and physicochemical properties, which require non-coextensive searches; therefore each product is patentably distinct.

Page 2

Art Unit: 1644

Groups I and III-VII are related as product and process of using. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the antibody of Group I can be used for affinity purification, in addition to the recited method.

- 5. These inventions are distinct for the reasons given above. In addition, they have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by different classification and/or recognized divergent subject matter. Further, even though in some cases the classification is shared, a different field of search would be required based upon the structurally distinct products recited and the various methods of use comprising distinct method steps. Moreover, a prior art search also requires a literature search. It is an undue burden for the examiner to search more than one invention. Therefore restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 6. In addition, each group reads on and or uses patentable distinct sequences. Each sequence is patentably distinct because they are unrelated sequences and further restriction is applied to each group.
- A. For each elected group drawn to or using antibody, the Applicant must further elect one specific antibody comprising one specific V_H region comprising 3 CDRs i.e. CDR1, CDR2 and CDR3 and one specific V_L region comprising 3 CDRs i.e. CDR1, CDR2 and CDR3 wherein each sequence is selected from the group recited in claim 3.
- B. For each elected group drawn to or using nucleotide sequence, the Applicant is required to elect a single sequence selected from the group recited in claim 5. (See MPEP 803.04).

In view of limited office resources, only a single nucleic or amino acid sequence will be examined in this application. In addition, to the specific selected sequence, those sequences which are patentably indistinct from the selected sequences will be also examined.

Examination will be restricted to only one specific sequence of antibody or one specific nucleic acid sequence.

Application/Control Number: 10/719,642

Art Unit: 1644

Page 4

7. The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. If applicant elects claims directed to the product, and a product claim is subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be rejoined in accordance with the provisions of MPEP § 821.04. **Process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the patentable product** will be entered as a matter of right if the amendment is presented prior to final rejection or allowance, whichever is earlier. Amendments submitted after final rejection are governed by 37 CFR 1.116; amendments submitted after allowance are governed by 37 CFR 1.312.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103, and 112. Until an elected product claim is found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowed product claim will not be rejoined. See "Guidance on Treatment of Product and Process Claims in light of *In re Ochiai, In re Brouwer* and 35 U.S.C. § 103(b)," 1184 O.G. 86 (March 26, 1996). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, Applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution either to maintain dependency on the product claims or to otherwise include the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder.

Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

- 8. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 C.F.R. § 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a diligently-filed petition under 37 C.F.R. § 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 C.F.R. § 1.17(h).
- 9. Applicant is advised that the response to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed.

Art Unit: 1644

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michail Belyavskyi whose telephone number is 571/272-0840 The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Friday from 9:00 AM to 5:30 PM. A message may be left on the examiner's voice mail service. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christina Chan can be reached on 571/272-0841.

The fax number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

MICHAIL BELYAVSKYI, PH.D. PATENT EXAMINER

6/1/06